



Uzbekistan: The Emerging Hub for Foreign Investment in Central Asia

Akishova Shakhnoza Davletovna

Tashkent State University of Economics, Teacher

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47134/innovative.v4i1.134>

*Correspondence: Akishova Shakhnoza Davletovna
Email: akishova0202@gmail.com

Received: 17-01-2025

Accepted: 24-02-2025

Published: 03-03-2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Situated in the core of Central Asia, Uzbekistan has become an energetic center for foreign investment, propelled by extensive economic reforms, open market policies, and improved regional links. Since 2016, the government has taken significant steps to liberalize its economy, which include freeing currency controls, privatizing state enterprises, and establishing special economic zones aimed at attracting international investors. With a population exceeding 36 million, a youthful and expanding labor force, along with a wealth of natural resources, Uzbekistan presents considerable prospects in areas such as energy, agriculture, textiles, mining, and technology. The nation's dedication to enhancing its business environment, paired with its critical involvement in China's Belt and Road Initiative and various transcontinental trade routes, positions it as a key entry point between Europe and Asia. This paper examines Uzbekistan's evolution into a prominent investment site, focusing on the primary forces driving its economic revival, the hurdles it must overcome, and the potential for ongoing growth amid the changing geopolitical dynamics of Central Asia. Through the analysis of successful foreign investment case studies and existing policy frameworks, this study underscores Uzbekistan's promise as a foundational element of economic progress in the region.

Keywords: Economics, Investments, Foreign Investments, Finances, Republic of Uzbekistan

Introduction

One key element for achieving long-term sustainable economic growth is attracting investments. Capital, which is a vital production factor, is generated through these investments. To create gross domestic product (GDP), the primary macroeconomic measure of economic growth, capital is essential. For enhancing our country's investment appeal, several priority areas should be emphasized: securing investments totaling 250 billion dollars, which involves attracting 110 billion dollars from foreign sources and 30 billion dollars through public-private partnerships; increasing GDP to 160 billion dollars and raising per capita income to 4 thousand dollars; maintaining macroeconomic stability and supporting economic growth with adequate energy, water, and infrastructure resources; steadily advancing economic transformations and institutional reforms; fostering a supportive investment and business climate; and executing a balanced monetary policy while achieving an average annual growth rate of approximately 7 percent in fixed capital investments.

Since 2016, Uzbekistan has initiated an extensive reform strategy focused on fostering a more accessible and welcoming environment for investors. Significant actions taken include:

Currency liberalization: The elimination of currency controls and the adoption of a market-oriented exchange rate have facilitated the process for foreign investors to transfer profits and engage in business activities.

Tax incentives: To draw in foreign investment, the government has introduced tax reductions, lowered corporate tax rates, and removed unnecessary bureaucratic hurdles.

Privatization: The extensive privatization of state-owned enterprises in areas like energy, mining, and telecommunications has enabled foreign investors to purchase stakes in lucrative sectors.

Special Economic Zones (SEZs): Uzbekistan has created 21 SEZs that provide favorable tax conditions, streamlined customs processes, and advanced infrastructure to enhance industrial and technological growth.

Literature Review. Developing nations fail to benefit from their natural resource wealth because they lack sufficient human and physical capital as well as technological expertise. These countries normally face obstacles because their property rights protection is weak together with widespread corruption and extreme instability in civil, political and economic spheres. These obstacles prevent their ability to build capital while blocking access to their current resources. Another important topic to examine is how legal and regulatory conditions affect FDI. Creating an environment that attracts FDI hinges on a functional legal system which upholds the rule of law together with transparent and consistent enforcement practices. Physical infrastructure elements like electricity, roads and water supply play a critical role in drawing domestic and foreign investments. Human capital also plays a major role in driving the inflow of FDI.

Research has extensively examined how Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) influences growth which has resulted in numerous empirical studies focused on understanding causality between these two elements. The integration of FDI as a key capital source into domestic investments creates additional job opportunities for the native country. The activity boosts profit opportunities for Multinational Companies (MNC) which enables both parties to secure benefits. Endogenous growth models demonstrate how FDI promotes growth through the transfer of technology to host countries. The difference in capital stock and technology between developed and undeveloped countries is significant and FDI functions as an effective solution to bridge this gap. Since transportation and communications costs have declined and policy barriers have been reduced, international trade in goods, capital, people, and knowledge has increased rapidly. Despite extensive research on these forces, little attention has been given to the more subtle interactions that have shaped the global economy. One of such linkages is the influence of migration on foreign direct investment (FDI), which is the focus of this paper. The planned government sale of state-owned enterprises (SOEs) and assets to private economic agents (Megginson and Netter, 2001) provides an exceptional situation to examine how ownership type affects investment efficiency.

Methodology

The article examines and extensively discusses scientific and theoretical research based on the scope of the topic. In the study, a specific direction was chosen to achieve the set goal. Based on the study and analysis of sources of statistical information related to the industry, the research strategy was determined.

Result and Discussion

Uzbekistan is situated in the heart of Central Asia, acting as a natural link between Europe and Asia. The nation borders Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Afghanistan, granting it access to a market exceeding 300 million consumers. Its closeness to significant economies like China, Russia, and India enhances its attractiveness as a center for commerce and logistics in the region. Modern transportation networks, such as the China-Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan railway and the Trans-Caspian International Transport Route, showcase Uzbekistan's commitment to improving connectivity and facilitating cross-border trade. These infrastructure projects not only reduce transportation expenses but also open new avenues for businesses focused on exports.

Thanks to recent reforms, including the liberalization of the foreign exchange market and the creation of seven special economic zones offering investor tax benefits, Uzbekistan has become a more appealing location for international investment. The UNCTAD's World Investment Report 2023 indicates that foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows increased from USD 2.53 billion in 2021 to USD 2.27 billion in 2022, mainly due to the doubling of reinvested earnings, which reached USD 1.2 billion. By the end of that period, the total FDI stock was estimated at USD 13.63 billion, accounting for roughly 16.9% of the country's GDP. The Institute of Macroeconomic and Regional Studies (IMRS) reported that Uzbekistan attracted USD 7.5 billion in FDI within the first nine months of 2023. Major investors include China (over 65% of total FDI stock), South Korea, Russia, Kazakhstan, and Turkey, primarily focused on the energy, metallurgy, and chemical sectors.

Table 1. FDI flows, by region, 2020–2022 (Billions of dollars and per cent)

Region	FDI inflows			FDI outflows		
	2020	2021	2022	2020	2021	2022
World	962	1478	1295	732	1729	1490
Developed economies	315	597	378	350	1244	1031
Europe	133	51	-107	-38	573	224
European Union	116	152	-125	64	477	96
Other Europe	17	-102	18	-102	97	128
North America	123	453	338	247	447	452
Other developed countries	60	93	147	141	224	354
Developing economies	647	881	916	382	485	459
Africa	39	80	45	1	3	6
Asia	516	662	662	383	445	396
Central Asia	7	7	10	-2	1	-2
East Asia	285	334	324	267	290	269
South Asia	71	53	57	11	18	16
South-East Asia	119	213	223	69	81	86
West Asia	35	56	48	38	55	27
Latin America and the Caribbean	90	138	208	-1.0	38	59
Oceania	1.0	1.3	1.2	-0.9	-1.6	-2.1

The National Investment Program for 2023-2025, outlined in Presidential Resolution 459 dated December 28, 2022, comprises 768 initiatives worth USD 55.4 billion. The Ministry of Investment, Industry, and Trade oversees the registration of these investment projects, while the Investments Promotion Agency offers guidance to international investors, including consultation services, access to relevant information and analyses, assistance with business registration, and various legal support. In 2022, the Government of Uzbekistan (GOU) continued its efforts to lessen state involvement in the economy by privatizing state assets and enterprises across multiple sectors, such as chemicals, transport, construction, and banking. During the year, monopolies held by state-owned companies in the aviation and chemical sectors were effectively broken down. In summary, Uzbekistan is placed 82nd among 132 economies in the Global Innovation Index 2023 and ranks 103rd out of 184 nations in the latest Index of Economic Freedom.

Table 2. FDI flows in Uzbekistan

<i>Foreign Direct Investment</i>	2020	2021	2022
FDI Inward Flow (million USD)	1,728	2,276	2,531
FDI Stock (million USD)	10,288	11,547	13,631
Number of Greenfield Investments	22	25	14
Value of Greenfield Investments (million USD)	3,247	1,924	1,221

Table 3. Sectoral Opportunities for Investment

Uzbekistan's diverse economy offers a wide range of investment opportunities across key sectors:

Energy and Natural Resources	Gold, uranium, copper, and natural gas are among the many natural resources that are rich in Uzbekistan. In order to develop renewable energy projects, enhance resource extraction technologies, and update its energy infrastructure, the state is actively seeking international investment.
Agriculture and Agribusiness	With ample space and a nice environment, Uzbekistan is a significant producer of cotton, fruits, and vegetables. The government promotes investment in export agriculture, irrigation technology, and agro-processing to increase value-added products..
Manufacturing and Textiles	The textile industry in Uzbekistan is modernizing quickly, with a focus on producing high-end apparel for export. The nation is a desirable place for textile manufacturers due to its inexpensive labor costs and convenient access to raw materials.
Tourism and Hospitality	With UNESCO World Heritage sites like Samarkand, Bukhara, and Khiva, Uzbekistan has a lot of potential for ecotourism and cultural tourism. To support the tourism sector, the

government is promoting public-private
partnerships and making infrastructure
investments.

Conclusion

Uzbekistan's advantageous position, evolving economy, and industry potential render it an attractive site for international investors eager to tap into the growing markets of Central Asia and further afield. The government's active initiatives to foster a supportive business climate, combined with its dedication to sustainable growth, position Uzbekistan as an emerging player in the global investment arena. For progressive investors, Uzbekistan offers not just monetary advantages, but also the chance to engage in a transformative experience in one of the most vibrant regions of the world.

References

- Afzal, A. (2022). Green finance and sustainable development in Europe. *Economic Research-Ekomska Istrazivanja*, 35(1), 5150-5163, ISSN 1331-677X, <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2021.2024081>
- Ashurov, S., Othman, A. H. A., Rosman, R. B., & Haron, R. B. (2020). The determinants of foreign direct investment in Central Asian region: A case study of Tajikistan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan. *Russian Journal of Economics*, 6, 162-176.
- B. S. Javorcik, Ç. Özden, M. Spatareanu, and C. Neagu, "Migrant networks and foreign direct investment," *J. Dev. Econ.*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 231–241, 2011.
- Barry, M. P. (2009). Foreign direct investments in Central Asian energy: A CGE model. *Environmental Economics eJournal*, 2, 35-54.
- Boateng, E. (2022). Interconnectedness among commodities, the real sector of Ghana and external shocks. *Resources Policy*, 75, ISSN 0301-4207, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2021.102511>
- Chishti, M.Z. (2022). Do the shocks in technological and financial innovation influence the environmental quality? Evidence from BRICS economies. *Technology in Society*, 68, ISSN 0160-791X, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101828>
- Clark, A., & Naito, K. (1998). Risks and opportunities for foreign investment in the mineral sectors of the Central Asian Republics: Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan. *Resources Policy*, 24(2), 105-114.
- Duan, W. (2022). Industrial structure conditions economic resilience. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 183, ISSN 0040-1625, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121944>

- E. ILGUN, K. Karl-Josef, and M. ORHAN, "How do foreign direct investment and growth interact in Turkey?," *Eurasian J. Bus. Econ.*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 41–55, 2010.
- Geng, Q. (2023). The impact of natural resource endowment and green finance on green economic efficiency in the context of COP26. *Resources Policy*, 80, ISSN 0301-4207, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.103246>
- Kantarçı, K. (2007). Perceptions of foreign investors on the tourism market in Central Asia including Kyrgyzstan, Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan. *Tourism Management*, 28(3), 820-829
- Lin, Y. (2023). Assessment of renewable energy, financial growth and in accomplishing targets of China's cities carbon neutrality. *Renewable Energy*, 205, 1082-1091, ISSN 0960-1481, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2022.11.026>
- Liu, M. (2023). Sustainability and stability: Will ESG investment reduce the return and volatility spillover effects across the Chinese financial market?. *Energy Economics*, 121, ISSN 0140-9883, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2023.106674>
- Mirzayev, G. (2021). Central Asia-main foreign policy priorities of Uzbekistan: A new approach and practical actions. *Journal of History*
- Newman, A. (1999). Investing in Uzbekistan: A rough ride on the Silk Road. *Law and Policy in International Business*, 30, 553.
- Nurasheva, K. K., Shalabayev, I. I., Abdikerimova, G. I., Kulanova, D. A., & Mergenbayeva, A. T. (2024). Capital inflow and investment attractiveness of Central Asian countries (on the example of Kazakhstan). *Regional Science Policy and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rspp.2024.100039>
- O. S. Ajuwon and F. O. Ogwumike, "Uncertainty and foreign direct investment: A case of agriculture in Nigeria," *Mediterr. J. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 155–165, 2013.
- R. Chen, S. El Ghouli, O. Guedhami, and H. Wang, "Do state and foreign ownership affect investment efficiency? Evidence from privatizations," *J. Corp. Financ.*, vol. 42, pp. 408–421, 2017.
- Raimondi, P. (2019). Central Asia oil and gas industry - The external powers' energy interests in Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan. *Environmental Economics eJournal*.
- S. Iamsiraroj and M. A. Ulubaşoğlu, "Foreign direct investment and economic growth: A real relationship or wishful thinking?," *Econ. Model.*, vol. 51, pp. 200–213, 2015.
- Singh, S., & Hoque, D. D. (2024). an Analysis of Trend, Pattern and Strength of Foreign Direct Investment (Fdi) and Foreign Institutional Investment (Fii) To India.

Educational Administration Theory and Practices, 30(4), 3588–3594.
<https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i4.2083>

Sun, H. (2022). The impact of green finance on China's regional energy consumption structure based on system GMM. *Resources Policy*, 76, ISSN 0301-4207, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.102588>

Wang, R. (2022). Research on the impact of green finance and abundance of natural resources on China's regional eco-efficiency. *Resources Policy*, 76, ISSN 0301-4207, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.102579>

Wei, X. (2022). Role of foreign direct investment and economic growth in renewable energy development. *Renewable Energy*, 192, 828-837, ISSN 0960-1481, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2022.04.062>

Zhou, H. (2022). Research on the impact of green finance on China's regional ecological development based on system GMM model. *Resources Policy*, 75, ISSN 0301-4207, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2021.102454>